

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,509 03/09/2001		Victor K. Blanco	MS1-762US	MS1-762US 9216		
22801	7590	12/05/2005		EXAMINER		
LEE & HA			HOTALING, JOHN M			
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3714	3714	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/802,509	BLANCO, VICTOR K.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John M. Hotaling II	3714		

	John M. Hotaling II	3714						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 11 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the mailing date on the second of the second control of the second of the	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or 								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		ejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,16-44,55-67 and 70-83</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	but before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Anneal will	not he entered					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be ent because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necess and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance by								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
JOHN M. HOTALING, J								
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	\mathcal{A}'						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)